

SPORT, IDENTITY & SOCIAL LIFE

Basketball, Identity, and the Shape of Agency

*A sociological study of basketball, self-belief, role pressure, and the institutions that
shape athletes*

-

William Wisniewski

*Sport is never only a game. It becomes one of the places where a person
learns what pressure does to identity, and what discipline can reveal about
the self.*

Basketball, Identity, and the Shape of Agency

By William Wisniewski

Opening Frame

This study began as an athlete interview project, yet the most meaningful material was not merely the transcript itself. The value of the conversation emerged in what the athlete's story revealed about sport as a social world: a place where identity is formed, constrained, tested, and sometimes expanded beyond what the athlete originally believed possible.

For publication, the structure has been rearranged. The sociological reflection now comes first, because it gives the interview its deeper frame. The transcript follows as the lived material beneath the analysis. This preserves the integrity of the original study while allowing the piece to read less like a classroom submission and more like an essay on sport, identity, and human development.

The athlete interviewed was a 20-year-old male student at Western Colorado University. He moved from small-town high school basketball, to founding a club basketball team, to joining the NCAA basketball roster. His path is valuable because it shows the tension between personal agency and institutional structure: first building a sports space from the ground up, then entering a more formal system where the athlete must adapt to demands already in place.

This athlete's journey is not simply a personal story in sport. It is a case study in how sport operates as both a liberating and constraining social force.

The Athlete, the Institution, and the Formation of Self

An athlete who played high school basketball in a small town in Wyoming and now competes on the NCAA basketball team at Western Colorado University presents a multifaceted case study in the sociology of sport. His experience holds the interplay between individual drive and structural forces, the internalization of sport-related norms, and the development of social capital through athletic participation.

Three themes stand out in his narrative: socialization into and through sport, overconformity to the sport ethic and role engulfment, and the construction of social capital and agency within sport cultures. Each theme shows how the athlete experience is never purely individual. It is shaped by teams, institutions, coaches, status, expectations, and the internal pressure to become more.

I. Socialization Into and Through Sport

Socialization is the process through which individuals internalize the norms, values, and expectations of a given social world. In sport, this process occurs first through early involvement in youth leagues and school teams, then later through more organized spaces such as club teams, collegiate athletics, and professional systems.

The interviewee first believed he was not good enough to play collegiate basketball. His re-entry into the game through intramurals and club basketball allowed him to develop not only as a player, but as someone who began to see himself differently. The game became a site of personal reconstruction. Skill developed alongside identity.

This aligns with the idea of socialization through sport, where sport becomes a platform for personal and social development. As he entered environments with increasing levels of commitment, he

internalized perseverance, teamwork, and leadership. These traits then shaped his self-concept and his social standing on campus.

His development also shows that socialization is not a one-way process imposed by institutions. He did not merely enter a pre-existing structure. Before joining the NCAA team, he created one. Founding the club team was an act of agency: a decision to build a sports space that reflected his own desire, discipline, and sense of possibility.

II. The Sport Ethic and the Risk of Role Engulfment

When the athlete transitioned from club basketball to NCAA basketball, the culture changed. Club basketball carried freedom and flexibility. NCAA basketball introduced structure, discipline, and obligation. He described the experience as being 'like a job,' where everything became mandatory and his days were organized around the sport.

This transition reflects the sport ethic: the expectation that athletes make sacrifices for the game, strive for distinction, accept risks, and refuse to accept limits. These values can produce excellence, but they can also become harmful when commitment begins to overtake the athlete's broader sense of self.

His comments reveal how basketball became the central organizing force of his life. Practice, weights, film, school, energy, and personal time all had to be negotiated around sport. This is where role engulfment becomes visible. The athlete risks becoming known to himself primarily through one identity: the athlete role.

The psychological pressure is not always imposed from the outside. In his case, the pressure to 'move up' from club to NCAA basketball was internal. He feared the regret of not trying. This makes the story more interesting, because his ambition was both freeing and binding. The same desire that expanded his life also placed him inside a more demanding structure.

His reflections on mental health show this tension. He sees the culture improving, but also recognizes that many athletes still keep their struggles to themselves. This is especially important in male sport cultures, where vulnerability is often treated as something to manage privately rather than express openly.

III. Social Capital, Leadership, and the Expansion of Possibility

The strongest part of this story is not only that the athlete made a roster. It is that he first built a team. Founding the club basketball team created an opportunity for himself, but it also created a community for others. In this way, sport became a mechanism for social capital: a place where relationships, networks, and shared commitment produced new resources and possibilities.

His reflection, 'If I can build a team, maybe I can do other stuff I didn't think I could do before,' is one of the clearest lines in the study. It reveals the deeper function of sport-based leadership. The value was not only athletic. It transferred into a broader belief about his capacity to act in the world.

The club team allowed him to experience ownership. He had to organize practices, communicate with players, manage logistics, and sustain the energy of a group whose commitment varied. This taught him that leadership is not simply having authority. It is creating the space where the right people can gather, commit, and make something real.

His role as a Resident Assistant deepened this theme. He became more aware of people, more attentive to how others felt, and more conscious of leadership as a relational practice. The court and the residence hall were different environments, but both required attention to group dynamics, care, accountability, and trust.

At the same time, the athlete acknowledged inequity within sport structures, noting that some players seem to receive more chances or more grace. This recognition matters because it prevents the story from

becoming too simple. Sport can empower, but it also reflects the hierarchies and privileges of the institutions in which it operates.

What the Interview Ultimately Shows

This athlete's journey shows sport as both a liberating and constraining force. It gave him confidence, leadership, identity, and community. It also placed him inside structures of pressure, hierarchy, and expectation. The same system that helped him become more also demanded more from him.

Through the perspectives of socialization, overconformity, and social capital, we see how individual agency interacts with institutional structure to shape identity, opportunity, and resilience. His story demonstrates the positive outcomes of sport: leadership, growth, discipline, confidence. Yet it also reveals the hidden costs of deeply internalizing the sport ethic and the pressure embedded in competitive athletics.

The final lesson is not that sport is good or bad. It is that sport is powerful. It can form people, narrow them, strengthen them, exhaust them, humble them, and give them a language for becoming. To study sport sociologically is to study one of the places where the human being meets structure, ambition, belonging, and the question of what the self can become under pressure.

Interview Context

The athlete selected for this project was a 20-year-old male student at Western Colorado University. At the time of the interview, he balanced several demanding roles: NCAA athlete, student leader, and Resident Assistant. His athletic journey began in high school basketball, continued through the founding and leadership of Western's club basketball team, and eventually led to a place on Western's NCAA basketball roster.

He was selected for the interview not only because of his athletic achievements, but because of the layered identity he embodies. He helped build a basketball community from the ground up before entering the institutional framework of NCAA sport. That transition offers a rare perspective on athlete-led culture, leadership, identity negotiation, and the tension between structure and agency.

Interview Transcript

The transcript below has been lightly cleaned for readability while preserving the athlete's language, meaning, and conversational rhythm. The purpose is not to polish away the voice of the interview, but to make the conversation easier to read in publication form.

Question: Can you walk me through your basketball journey from high school through founding the club team, and eventually joining the NCAA team? What motivated each transition?

"Yeah, so in high school, I loved basketball. I played basketball all the time. I came from a school in Wyoming that was 2A, graduating class of 40. We had 140 kids in the whole high school. We were super small. Honestly, I didn't think I was good enough, and I probably wasn't good enough to play anywhere in college, especially at the D2 level. Maybe D3 or NAIA, but I didn't even try. I thought basketball was done for me. Then I came to Western and started playing every day. I became friends with all the basketball guys and realized I missed basketball. I wanted to play again. I started playing intramurals, just playing every day, and I started to get better. I also started to mature physically. I was a little bit of a late bloomer. All of that added up. I started to get a lot better than I ever was in high school. I was going to try to grind my freshman year and walk on, but then there was a coaching change, so I thought that dream was over. But I started a club team. I went to the Club Sports Director and asked what I had to do, and I just did it. We had a good squad of 10 to 13 guys. We went to tournaments in Nebraska and Denver, and eventually scrimmaged the NCAA team. After that, I thought I would regret it if I didn't reach out to the NCAA coach. I sent an email. If he never responded, I would stick with the club team. But he did respond, we had a meeting, and he asked me to be on the team. What motivated each transition was just wanting more, and not wanting to live with regrets. I don't regret a thing. It's been awesome."

Question: What were the biggest cultural or structural differences between playing club basketball and NCAA basketball at Western? How did each environment shape your experience as an athlete?

"The biggest cultural and structural difference is that with NCAA basketball, everything is mandatory. It's like your job. With club, if you missed a practice or couldn't come to a game, it was fine. With Western basketball, there's no missing practice. Structurally, we practice two and a half hours a day, every day, plus weights. It's incredibly structured. Club was more like, do you guys want to practice today or not? The club team showed me that I really love the game because I went to every practice when other people barely went to any. As an NCAA athlete, it has shaped me into who I am now because basketball is basically my job. Everything on my mind this school year has been basketball: what do I have to do for basketball, and then do I have time for anything else? Even homework. It's been an awesome experience, though."

Question: What did it mean to you to co-found the club team?

"It honestly meant a lot. I never really saw myself as someone who would start something like that. But it showed me that if you really want something and you're willing to put in the work, you can make it happen. It was cool to see other guys who love basketball come together and build something from nothing. We didn't have a coach. We were just figuring it out. The fact that we got to travel, play legit teams, and even scrimmage the NCAA team was wild. It gave me a lot of confidence, not just in basketball but in life. If I can build a team, maybe I can do other stuff I didn't think I could do before."

Question: How would you describe the team cultures you've been part of, both club and NCAA? What values, behaviors, or unspoken rules defined those cultures?

"The club team culture was super chill. It was a group of guys who loved the game and wanted to hoop. With the NCAA team, it's a whole different vibe. The culture is about discipline, accountability, and being locked in. Everyone is competitive. You earn your spot by showing up, giving 100%, and being coachable. Being part of that kind of culture really helped me."

Question: As someone who helped shape one team and later joined a more institutional one, how did your sense of agency shift across those experiences?

"With the club team, I felt like I had a lot of control. Every decision went through me: scheduling, jerseys, practices, even who made the team. It was kind of my thing. I felt invested in it because if I didn't do it, it wasn't going to happen. That gave me a sense of pride and ownership that I'd never really had before. Joining the NCAA team was the opposite in a way. I didn't have the same level of control. I was just a guy on the roster, trying to earn his place. But it also felt good to just focus on being an athlete and not have to run everything. I could show up and work hard."

Question: In your role as team president, how did you approach leadership? What did you learn about power, influence, and group dynamics?

"I had to learn how to organize things, keep guys motivated, and still be someone they wanted to follow. Some guys are all in, some just show up when they feel like it, and you can't force it. You just create the space and try to pull in the right people."

Question: Now as an NCAA athlete, how do you navigate the expectations and pressures that come with formalized collegiate sport?

"It's a lot, way more than I expected. When you're on the outside, you think they just play games and practice. But every single day there's something. You have to show up locked in. No off days mentally. It's not just physical pressure. It's emotional and mental too, trying to balance school, life, and still bring energy every day. If I start thinking too far ahead, I get overwhelmed. So I just try to focus on what's in front of me."

Question: How did being a Resident Assistant influence how you engage with your teammates, or vice versa? Do you see overlap between your leadership roles on and off the court?

"Being an RA definitely made me more aware of people. I started paying more attention to how guys were feeling."

Question: What stereotypes or assumptions have you encountered because of your status as a college athlete? How do you respond to or resist them?

"There are definitely stereotypes. People assume if you're a college athlete, you don't care about school, or that you get special treatment, or that you're just kind of a jock. I get it. But we have early lifts, classes, practice, film, homework. I show up to class, I do the work, I talk to professors, and I try to be involved in things outside of basketball too. I don't really go out of my way to prove anything."

Question: Has your identity as a student ever come into conflict with your identity as an athlete? How do you balance or negotiate those demands?

"Yeah, all the time. There have been moments where I'm sitting in class completely drained from practice. Then I have assignments due and exams coming up. It definitely feels like a conflict sometimes. I can't procrastinate like I used to. I try to stay in communication with professors and be honest, like, 'Hey, I've got a game, can we work something out?' Most of them are cool if you're respectful."

Question: Did you feel any pressure to move up from club to NCAA level? How did that affect your relationship with the game?

"There was definitely internal pressure. Nobody was really pushing me to move up, but I didn't want to look back and wonder, what if I'd tried? It went from being this fun, loose thing to something way more serious. Going from a kid who didn't think he was good enough to someone practicing with the NCAA team every day means a lot."

Question: How have coaches influenced your understanding of performance, discipline, and success?

"I don't want to just be good when it's easy. I want to be great when it's hard. Coaches have had a big impact on how I see all that."

Question: Can you share a time when you felt you had to conform to a particular sport ethic or idea of toughness?

"There have definitely been moments like that. It's just kind of part of the game."

Question: Do you feel the culture around athlete mental health at Western is supportive or stigmatized? How open is the conversation among peers and coaches?

"I think it's getting better, for sure. People are starting to talk about it more, and coaches are more understanding than you'd expect. For teammates, some are open, but a lot just keep it to themselves."

Question: Have you experienced or witnessed any exclusion, inequity, or privilege within college sport, whether related to race, gender, class, or other factors?

"Sometimes it feels like certain players get more chances or more grace. I think people are just starting to be more honest about that stuff."

Question: What role has basketball played in shaping your personal development beyond physical ability, such as your worldview or sense of identity?

"Basketball has shaped almost everything for me. It has taught me how to handle failure, how to lead, and it has given me confidence. It has also humbled me, big time."

Question: If you could talk to your younger self, just starting basketball, what would you say about the reality of the athlete experience versus the idealized version we often see?

"If I could talk to that younger version of me, hooping in a tiny gym in Wyoming thinking maybe that was it, I'd say, don't stop. Maybe it would be easier to just let it go, but don't. Keep showing up. Keep playing your heart out. One day you're going to look around and be like, damn, I really made it here."

Question: How do you think your background and personality helped you succeed in both club and NCAA sport? What challenges did you face along the way?

"Coming from such a small town and a small school, I always felt like I had something to prove. My personality has always been kind of laid-back but focused, and that helped me connect with different types of people. The biggest challenges were confidence and self-doubt. I questioned whether I was really built for this. But I started to trust myself."

Question: How do you see your relationship with sport evolving after college? Do you intend to stay involved in basketball in some form?

"Basketball is always going to be part of my life in some way. I don't see myself just walking away from it after college and never picking up a ball again. I know I still love the game."

Question: In a single sentence, how would you define what sport, especially basketball, means to you now?

"Basketball is this constant reminder of who I am and what I'm capable of."

References

- Adler, P. A., & Adler, P. (1991). *Backboards and Blackboards: College Athletes and Role Engulfment*. Columbia University Press.
- Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education* (pp. 241-258). Greenwood.
- Coakley, J. (2015). *Sports in Society: Issues and Controversies* (11th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

- Giulianotti, R. (2005). *Sport: A Critical Sociology*. Polity Press.
- Hartmann, D. (2003). Theorizing sport as social intervention: A view from the grassroots. *Quest*, 55(2), 118-140. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00336297.2003.10491794>
- Hughes, R., & Coakley, J. (1991). Positive deviance among athletes: The implications of overconformity to the sport ethic. *Sociology of Sport Journal*, 8(4), 307-325. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ssj.8.4.307>
- Jarvie, G. (2003). Communitarianism, sport and social capital: 'Neighbourly insights into Scottish sport.' *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*, 38(2), 139-153. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1012690203038002001>
- Lin, N. (2001). *Social Capital: A Theory of Social Structure and Action*. Cambridge University Press.
- Messner, M. A. (1992). *Power at Play: Sports and the Problem of Masculinity*. Beacon Press.
- Nixon, H. L. (1993). Accepting the risks of pain and injury in sport: Mediated cultural influences on playing hurt. *Sociology of Sport Journal*, 10(2), 183-196. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ssj.10.2.183>
- Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. Simon & Schuster.
- Seippel, O. (2006). Sport and social capital. *Acta Sociologica*, 49(2), 169-183. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0001699306064771>
- Smith, A., & Ingham, A. G. (2003). On the waterfront: Retrospectives on the relationship between sport and communities. *Sociology of Sport Journal*, 20(3), 252-274. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ssj.20.3.252>
- Wacquant, L. (2004). *Body & Soul: Notebooks of an Apprentice Boxer*. Oxford University Press.

Author's Note: Adapted from an academic athlete interview project into a publication-style essay. The analysis has been placed before the transcript so the reader encounters the central meaning of the study first.